THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

# THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

HTTP://WWW BLACKVAULT COM

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!

DOCID:	411668		TOP SECRET		
	Third Party Na	itions: Partners a	ınd Targets ( <del>S-</del>	(b) (1)	-50 USC 403
b)(3)-P.L.86-36				(b) (3) (b) (3)	-P.L. 86-36 -18 USC 798
	This article is clas	ssified <del>TOP SECRET - Handis via Commun</del>	<del>биданей О</del> шу <u>пачи висивоу.</u>		
<u> </u>	Ħ	15 Andle via comint channi		TOP SECRET	

Approved for Release by NSA on 09-26-2007, FOIA Case # 52312

# CRYPTOLOGIC QUARTERLY

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 403 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -18 USC 798

BACKGROUND

	BACAGROCIAD	-/ / I II \
	There are potentially both operational advantages and cost savings t	o be gained by a
	Third Party exchange agreement. As might be expected, assessing	
	advantages/cost savings, in any given instance, can vary greatly depen	
	perspective.	Julia Modu ambia
	perspective.	
	/	But the
	costs of obtaining this material are often substantial, if the time spent by	
	negotiators, staff coordinators, material handlers, and office help are all	
		Third Party is
	usually part of the bargain, the total dollar costs and U.S. man hours invo	
	those which would have been required for an equivalent U.S. effort.	ived may exceed
	But considerations of cost and cost effectiveness are usually second	ery in aggegaing
	the desirability of a Third Party exchange. Focus is generally on the	ary m absessing
	the destraotincy of a Time rarry exchange. Pocus is generally on the	
	Also, not infrequently, after funding limits for	have been
(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36:::::::::::	reached, a decision is made	with a Third
	Party, whether or not this would be cost effective	with a riniq
***************************************	not cost saving, is the primary objective of Third Party exchange	900
	In parallel with claims of Sigint operational advantages or of cos	
	derived from the use of Third Party resources, several arguments are available	
	the risks entailed in exchanges which foster the development of Third P	
	the risks enganed in exchanges which toster the development of Third I	arty Crybtotokic
		Į.
		ſ
		ł
		J
	1	
	Finally, in a broader context, the	
	where current national aims make it desirable to broaden intelligence, in	
		ay be minor or
	nonexistant,	
	To each of these arguments there are counter arguments, which are,	
	to rebuttal; and the rebuttals themselves are rebuttable, etc. Bec.	
	arguments and counter arguments, subjective judgment and objective fac	
(b)(1)	intermixed, the pros and cons regarding the points made in the preceding	
(b)(3)-50 USC 403	presented below in the form of a dialogue. The aim is to give a clear	
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	exposition of the two opposing views. The arguments against loosening	constraints are
	designated "Conservative" and those in favor are labeled "Liberal." The	phrasing of the
	points will attempt to reflect the conviction, even emotion, with which the	
	viewed by each side.	

		/(b) (i	1) 3)-50 USC 403
General Spread of Cryptologic Knowledge	/Awareness		3)-P.L. 86-36
Conservative:		//	
There is no question that general cr with or without assistance to The the ability to stay ahead of target Comsecturn, directly dependent on the rate of the to Third Parties will, without question, in	hird Parties. But Sigint suc development; and an abilit at Comsec development. Cr	cess is a function of y to stay ahead is, in	
Liberal:			
The underlying rate of cryptologic de ever before and getting even faster. concerning advanced analytic technique cryptographic equipment is readily access that the rate of Third Party cryptologic of broadening of selected Third Party exchar	Cryptologic literature in les is proliferating. Inexp sible on the open market. I development can be much a	the public domain pensive high grade t is hard to imagine	
Conservative:		//	
The gap between the state of cryptol actual use of cryptologic technology is or cryptologic assistance, even if the mater Party's cryptologic competence, that action actual state of a Third Party's cryptologic Third Party could, in theory, achieve on its	rdinarily very wide. When rial provided is theoretica on, at minimum, narrows the progress closer to, if not bey	furnishes lly within a Third at gap, bringing the	
Liberal:			
Excessive, sometimes paranoid, co- information already in the public domain with present realities. Third Party Sigi greatly since the early post-WWII period security constraints then do not have the s	can only hobble, needlessly, nt capabilities and aspirati . What were regarded as n	, U.S. efforts to deal ions have advanced	
Conservative:			
Neither sound Sigint security principles generated by paranoia, though both are putted the price of putting long-term system advantage is very high; vide, the current T	periodically out of popular f tability at risk in the pur	avor. In both cases rsuit of short-term	
Enhancement of Third Party Security Mea	sures		
Conservative:			
Some degree of control over the furthe probably be achieved by establishing an e	exchange arrangement with It is also questionable w	a Third Party.	******
distribution of material which would has absence of constraints would justified to or generated by the Third Party	stify putting at risk the a		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	17	TOP SECRET	

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

DOCID. 4	11668			(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 403
	TOP SECRET	CRYPTOLOGIC QU	ARTERLY	/ (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	Liberal:			
	We have seen again condition of a given Thir can be determined, abide		ve have set tighter s hird Party has instit	security rules as a suted, and so far as
	Conservative:	proportion.	// /	
	Nations are said to be naive to believe that any their interests not to. In			
			H = H	
	/	Ironically, it is	<u>(                                    </u>	which we are
	Advanced Cryptologic Ca  Conservative:  Some Third Parties of	spabilities of Certain Thir do indeed exchange amo		ial which
		But a Third Pa	arty Sigint success ag	rainst one target is
	skill than of the breadth	fageneral capability aga niques for achieving t Sigint success has been	inst similar targets on that and similar such less the result of ad effort, a breadth of o	r of a knowledge of cesses. In many vanced cryptologic
	geographical and financia	al reasons, achievable by	any Third Party nati	
	geographical and financia	al reasons, achievable by	any Third Party nati	
)(1) )(3)-50 USC 403 )(3)-P.L. 86-36	Liberal:	age in geographical breaterregional cooperation,	adth of effort is stea between Third Party al constraints on	on. dily decreasing as

without than with the transfer of cryptologic technology from

Where a Third Party, such as

(b)(3)-50 (b)(3)-P.

(b)(1)

of

Liberal:

increase.

favor on an increase in their cryptologic capabilities. As the relative power of the United States in the world decreases, our interest in developing the competence of our allies must

This becomes again a question of the degree and of the rate of development. Internation cooperative arrangements in the absence of example and assistance from will be less effective than entrally directed effort. As to the breadth

effort, though some increase is inevitable, it is likely to be much slower

are friends and allies, we should look with

(b)(3)-50 USC 403 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 TOP SECRET CRYPTOLOGIC QUARTERLY Liberal: unrealistic to plan on retreating into some cryptologic Fortress America or The era of U.S. military/economic world dominance is over. We must learn to deal with friendly nations on a more equal basis in cryptologic as well as other areas. Conservative: As U.S. relative power declines, intelligence becomes more and more important as a means of directing our remaining influence and of employing our resources on those matters and on those future occasions which can be expected to have the greatest significance for major U.S. interests. We must not blunt the future effectiveness of the Sigint weapon by encouraging the development of defenses against it. Overall Policy Liberal: The conservative arguments against making any basic revisions in the constraints which have, too often, burdened Third Party exchanges are characterized by a general unwillingness to recognize that, in any domain, change is inevitable and that it is neither practical nor politically feasible to forego the advantages of closer, less constrained, relationships with Third Parties. The range and difficulty of Sigint targets continues to grow, with no realistic possibility of a comparable growth in resources. To prevent unacceptable gaps in Sigint support to U.S. military and political officials, we must make the most effective possible use of all available resources, including Third Parties. For better or for worse, either because of the greater attention now accorded to cryptologic matters throughout the world or because of a natural desire on the part of Third Parties we are obliged to come out of the closet and deal much more openly with them about cryptologic matters. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Conservative: U.S. economic and technical preeminence since WWII has made it possible, by the sheer size of increased Sigint funding, to stay ahead of many cryptographic advances by target nations. With the fading of that preeminence, other avenues to Sigint success are needed. One approach, certainly valid, even vital in some/instances, is to place greater reliance on Third Parties This approach, however, is by no means always cost effective, and more important, if employed extensively, may result in Another approach, less likely to be counterproductive, is to focus available Sigint resources, with

Whether or not the U.S. has entered a phase of absolute decline there is little question that the U.S. will cease to act as military policemen for the entire world. Certainly U.S. dominance in the Far East is no longer a rational possibility. For the purposes of Sigint planning, it needs to be recognized that Japan, China, and even India are as likely to be competitors as allies in the twenty-first century, perhaps earlier; that powerful forces within the Soviet Union are attempting to move the USSR away from military confrontation with the U.S.; that the economic/political division of Europe engendered by

maximum effectiveness and efficiency, on targets of major importance, while relying to a greater degree on non-Sigint sources of intelligence regarding selected targets of less than

TOP SECRET

major importance.

DOCID: 411668

# THIRD PARTY NATIONS: PARTNERS AND TARGETS

TOP SECRET

post-WWII East-West rivalries is coming to an end; that global problems of overpopulation, with consequent atmospheric and terrestrial pollution and international competition for increasingly scarce resources, are likely to be the dominant international concerns after the year 2000.

### Liberal:

It is not the place of NSA or of the cryptologic community to direct national policy or to make national intelligence estimates. NSA's job is to respond to intelligence information requirements according to established priorities, using whatever resources are available. It is neither politically practical nor operationally prudent for NSA to organize its efforts to address tasks and circumstances other than those specified by U.S. Sigint users.

## Conservative:

NSA is responsible for pursuing policies and measures to maximize the long-term value of Sigint as an asset critical to the support of U.S. military and political action. Sigint may soon loom even larger as a support to actions in the economic sphere. Beyond that, as a member of the U.S. Intelligence Community NSA has a responsibility to make its voice heard in matters....

The above arguments have no real end and the controversy no clear-cut resolution. Each participant/reader is likely to find the foregoing merely a confirmation of his or her already firmly held views.

#### COMMENTS

It will be apparent that the differences are as much philosophical as judgmental: whether or not today's explicit intelligence requirements must override consideration of hypothetical long-term intelligence needs; whether or not the spread of cryptologic technology and awareness is today so rapid and so pervasive as to make obsolete the customary standards for evaluating risks of cryptologic technology transfer; whether the gap between cryptologic technology development and the actual use of cryptologic technology is so great as to require that technology awareness, rather than technology development, be the benchmark against which to measure the risk of technology transfer; whether actual day-to-day management costs of a Third Party exchange, including planning, negotiation, management, and analyst overhead, often equals or exceeds the cost of doing the same task with resources and, if not, whether the resources required over the long term

whether a less intensive Sigint effort on various targets of lower intrinsic priority would serve significant U.S. needs as well as the present effort, i.e., "How heavily should secondary intelligence requirements weigh in overall Sigint management policy decisions?" and "Are non-Sigint sources adequate to deal with such secondary requirements?"

These questions reflect problems with which the entire intelligence community is concerned, but they should certainly be addressed initially by NSA, on whose special skills and experience in Sigint matters the Intelligence Community must rely. The ultimate decision on questions relating to intelligence priorities and the tasking of intelligence sources lie clearly in the realm of DCI/DIA/JCS/NFIB, but NSA's vote even on these questions should count heavily.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

#### CRYPTOLOGIC QUARTERLY

Some actions which might help to further sort out the issues raised:

- a more thorough examination of the question of the cost effectiveness of each individual Third Party exchange. A rigorous cost accounting review by "outsiders" might suggest some useful modifications in one or more of the existing exchanges.
- a (re)consideration of the cost-benefit of Third Party exchanges involving targets of lower priority.
- a review of U.S. Sigint posture vis-à-vis Third Parties for the future, assuming major realignments of international power relationships over the next 20 years.

holds a BA in International Relations from Yale University, an MBA from Harvard Business School, and a Doctorate in Jurisprudence from American University. He is certified as a Special Research Analyst and Traffic Analyst.